

United States Fatent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 06/10/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/428,674	10/27/1999	MICHAEL NEHLS	8535-029-999	4973
20583 73	590 06/10/2002			
	D EDMONDS		EXAM	INER
	E OF THE AMERICAS NY 100362711		MARSCHEL	, ARDIN H
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	A`	TOANEY DOCKET NO
			ΕX	AMINER
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				20
		i	DATE MAILED:	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

a) is b) e	ADVISORY ACTION PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) is b) e	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
_ b)	
	s extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
T P	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the surposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appe	ellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applic to pla	cant's response to the final rejection, filed $\frac{2/15/02}{}$ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed ace the application in condition for allowance:
1. 🛭 Т	he proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
a.	. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b.	. X They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c.	. [X] They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d.	They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
€.	. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
N	OTE: the proposed and to ch 14 rieses now josues including NEW MATTER as the condition have not been found in the section points to not in surrounding pages.
	ewly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling non-allowable claims.
	pon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🔲 will be entered 🗷 will not be entered and the status of the claims will e as follows:
CI	laims allowed:
	laims objected to:
•	However;
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
. 6 ⊈π	he affidavit, exhibit or reguest for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because of resons I record between argue that the segments are specific to the tester colls and it represents a survival and oblege involved in affection it. These arguments
∠∠ 4T □ .i	ne affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier 🧥 🧻
_	resented. as not diff. the myring of non-
The pro	oposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. It compare to define any specific
Other	Azdi Il Mansley
128,6	74 ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER
, 52 500 (1	